

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/427,945	10/26/99	SAKAGAWA		K	FUSA-12.689A	
SAMSON HELFGOTT HELFGOTT & KARAS PC EMPIRE STATE BUILDING		- TM31/0625	٦	EXAMINER		
		,			.2	
		60TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK NY	10118		•	2152	11	
			٠	DATE MAILED:	06/25/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/427,945	Sakagawa				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Le Hien Luu	2152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for 						
reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR c whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period mailing date of the final rejection.	ontinues to run from the mailing date of the od for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS	final rejection, from the				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFF	s Brief must be filed within the po R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	eriod set forth in the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon with requisite fees.	the timely submission of a Notic	e of Appeal and A	Appeal Brief			
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below)	;			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely file	ed amendment			
6.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does N	OT place the			
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which w	ere newly			
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6, 8-12, 14-15, and 40-56</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.						
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		hapm			
11. Other:		u	7000			
		-	IEN LUU Y EYAMINER			

Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: rationale provided by Applicant that the two references (Finn & Mori) can not be combined in the manner that the Examiner suggested is not persuasive.

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER